

Grad Cert / Grad Dip / Master of Mineral Resources (Environment)

Centre for Mined Land Rehabilitation

Research Project Marking Sheet

Course	
Candidate	
Mark (%) & Grade	
Examiner	
Examiner's signature	
Date	

Examiners are to assign a mark up to the maximum indicated for each assessment item. Specific comments on the strengths and weaknesses of the candidate's research report may be made at the bottom of the criteria sheet. Additional feedback can be provided if the issue is not addressed in the criteria provided.

Candidates are strongly encouraged to review the criteria carefully during the preparation of their research report.

Marks must not be released to the student before the official release date.

The assessment has four major components:

Background	20%
Methodology, Results	30%
Analysis, Discussion	30%
References, Presentation	20%

Note that each component **does not contribute equally** to the final mark.

Examiners, please note the general assessment criteria below when awarding final percentage mark and grade:

85-100 (7)	Work of superior quality in all aspects of the report, containing clear examples of excellent critical skills and personal insights into research area.
75-84 (6)	Work of very good quality in all aspects of the report, but showing lesser critical skills and personal insights into research area.
65-74 (5)	Work of good quality in all aspects of the report, but with inadequacies in understanding, critical skills, literacy, organisation or presentation.
50-64 (4)	Adequate quality work with significant deficiencies in understanding, critical skills, literacy, organisation or presentation.
< 50 (Fail)	Less than satisfactory understanding or achievement in part, or all, of the topic.

Assessment Criteria
Candidate: _____

Section	Target	Mark		
		Max	Award	Total
Background	Title and Abstract: Clear, concise, correct, informative	6		
	Background: Focused and concise description	6		
	Hypothesis/aims: Clear and logical statement of aims	4		
	Coherence: Clear connection between aims and background	4		
		20		
Methodology	Evidence of comprehensive planning	3		
	Appropriate design of experiments or observations	3		
	Selection and clear description of appropriate methods	4		
		10		
Results	Use of appropriate data analysis	4		
	Accurate data treatment	4		
	Data analysis relevant to aims	4		
	Appropriate interpretation	5		
	Clear and logical presentation	3		
	20			
Analysis	Recognition of strengths and weakness of data	4		
	Critical analysis of data in relation to literature	6		
		10		
Discussion	Clear and logical presentation of arguments	4		
	Clear, comprehensive understanding of significance of data	4		
	Conclusions fully supported by data	4		
	Clear, comprehensive demonstration of how study adds to knowledge	4		
	Clear identification and justification of future directions	4		
	20			
References	Predominant & comprehensive use of primary sources	4		
	Use of recent & seminal sources	3		
	Accurate reference list and citation style	3		
		10		
Presentation	Expression: Correct grammar and professional expression	3		
	Figures, tables: Accurate, consistent presentation, informative	3		
	Table of contents and general organisation: Clear and logical	4		
	10			
	100			

Specific Comments

Strengths
Weaknesses
Suggestions